

# **AGENDA**

## **CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**September 28-29, 2000  
San Francisco, California**

---

### **Thursday, September 28, 2000**

**10:00 a.m.**

**Public Transit Committee Meeting  
(See Separate Agenda)**

California Public Utilities Commission  
Auditorium  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, California

**1:30 p.m. or  
Following Lunch Break  
After Prior Committee Mtg.**

**Highway & Interregional Mobility Committee Meeting  
(See Separate Agenda)**

California Public Utilities Commission - Auditorium  
(See Address Above)

**2:00 p.m. or Upon  
Adjournment of Prior  
Committee Meeting**

**Commission Meeting**

California Public Utilities Commission - Auditorium  
(See Address Above)

**5:00 p.m.**

**Reception with San Francisco Municipal Railway and  
Metropolitan Transportation Commission**

Location to be Announced

### **Friday, September 29, 2000**

**8:30 a.m.**

**Commission Meeting**

California Public Utilities Commission  
Auditorium  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, California

---

**NOTICE:** Agenda items, other than those assigned a specific time and date, may be taken up on either day of the two-day Commission meeting.

---

---

\* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (B,T & H) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

---

## **1. GENERAL MATTERS**

		<b><u>Status*</u></b>	
		<b>A</b>	<b>C</b>
1.(1)	Approval of Minutes for August 23-24, 2000 Meeting		
1.(2)	Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation	A	C
1.(3)	Information Calendar	I	C
1.(4)	Consent Calendar	A	C
1.(5)	Commission Reports	A	C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Report on Public Transit Committee Meeting</li> <li>• Report on Highway &amp; Interregional Mobility Committee Meeting</li> <li>• 2001 Meeting Schedule</li> </ul>		
1.(6)	Business, Transportation and Housing Agency Report	I	B
1.(7)	Caltrans Report	I	D
1.(8)	Regional Agencies Report	I	R
1.(9)	Presentation by Metropolitan Transportation Commission on Local Transportation Issues	I	R

## **2. PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS**

### **2.1 Program Amendments/Project Approvals**

#### **2.1a. STIP Amendments for Action**

•	STIP Amendment 98S-105 - Action Programs \$432,000 from the \$800,000 unused Alameda County Shares to refurbish the Express II Ferry in FY 2000-01. The unused Alameda County Shares originated from the \$800,000 exchange between Grandfathered TEA funds and Proposition 116 funds for the Oakland Embarcadero Bay Trail, on February 17, 1999. The total cost to refurbish the Express II Ferry is \$795,000, including \$363,000 in local funds. The Alameda County Congestion Management Agency, with concurrence from the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), is requesting this amendment. (Noticed at February 23, 2000 Meeting.)	A	D
---	--	---	---

**2.1b. STIP Amendments for Notice**

- |                 |   |                 |
|-----------------|---|-----------------|
| <b>2.1b.(1)</b> | STIP Amendment 98S-150 - Notice<br>Programs an additional \$196,000 from two Counties' Unprogrammed Share balances (\$150,000 from Trinity and \$46,000 from Humboldt) and transfers \$552,000 in existing Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funding from two Shasta County projects for environmental studies on a project to realign the newly designated Route 299 Corridor in Shasta and Trinity Counties. The Trinity County Transportation Commission (TCTC), Humboldt County Association of Governments (HCAG) and the Department are requesting this amendment.   | <b>I      D</b> |
| <b>2.1b.(2)</b> | STIP Amendment 98S-151 - Notice<br>Programs a new \$2,000,000 project to cover environmental studies for four projects in the newly designated Sutter County Route 99 Corridor, and reprograms two of the existing corridor projects from FY 2001-02 to FY 2003-04. The environmental project will be funded with \$1,600,000 in Transportation Equity Act for the Twenty-First Century (TEA-21) Demonstration funds and \$400,000 in Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funds transferred from an existing project on the corridor to cover the required TEA-21 fund match. Sutter County, Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) and the Department are requesting this amendment. | <b>I      D</b> |
| <b>2.1b.(3)</b> | STIP Amendment 98S-152 - Notice<br>Revises the funding for a \$14,131,000 project in San Bernardino County, which will reconstruct the Main Street Interchange on Route 15 in the City of Hesperia. Transportation Equity Act for the Twenty-First Century (TEA-21) Demonstration funding is increased by \$713,000 and Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funding is decreased by the same amount. The San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) and the Department are requesting this amendment.  | <b>I      D</b> |
| <b>2.1b.(4)</b> | STIP Amendment 98S-153 - Notice<br>Programs \$4,683,000 from San Bernardino County's Unprogrammed Share Balance to six transit projects. The six include: the City of Chino's Downtown Transportation Center project, Fontana's Metrolink (Trans-center) Plaza Expansion project, the City of Rialto's Metrolink Bus Stop Enhancement Project, a multi-modal transit center in San Bernardino, a Phase 2 Metrolink Station project in the City of Ontario, and the El Garces Intermodal Transfer Station Restoration project in the City of Needles. The San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) is requesting this amendment.   | <b>I      D</b> |
| <b>2.1b.(5)</b> | STIP Amendment 98S-154 - Notice<br>Programs \$1,726,000 as an advance from Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funds for the design (PS&E) component of a grade separation and local streets improvements project in the City of Glendale in Los Angeles County. The City of Glendale and the Department are requesting this amendment.   | <b>I      D</b> |

- 2.1b.(6)** STIP Amendment 98S-155 - Notice **I D**  
 Revises the programming on a \$20,866,000 Route 30 six-lane freeway and two-lane High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) project in San Bernardino County by transferring \$376,000 of Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Match Reserve funding into the project, removing \$376,000 in local Measure funding, and adjusting their CMAQ Match Reserve accordingly. The San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) is requesting this amendment.

- 2.1c.** Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals **A C/D**  
 32 Applications totaling \$382,850,000.  
 Resolution TA-00-01

<b><u>Project Number</u></b>	<b><u>(\$ X 1,000,000)</u></b>	<b><u>Phase(s)</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
11	\$3.20 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	Study	San Francisco Bay Southern Crossing <i>Metropolitan Transportation Commission</i> <i>Same</i>
15	\$20.00 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	All	Route 24; Caldecott Tunnel; add fourth bore tunnel <i>Caltrans</i> <i>Same</i>
21	\$7.00 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	All	San Francisco Muni Ocean Avenue Light Rail <i>San Francisco Municipal Railway</i> <i>Same</i>
25	\$1.00 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	1	I-80/I-680/Route 12 Interchange in Fairfield <i>Solano Transportation Authority</i> <i>Same</i>
32.3	\$1.00 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	All	North Coast Railroad; Sub-paragraph (c) completion of rail line from Willits to Arcata <i>North Coast Railroad Authority</i> <i>Same</i>
32.5	\$4.10 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	All	North Coast Railroad: Sub-paragraph (e) environmental remediation projects <i>North Coast Railroad Authority</i> <i>Same</i>
32.6	\$10.00 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	All	North Coast Railroad: Sub-paragraph (f) debt reduction <i>North Coast Railroad Authority</i> <i>Same</i>
32.7	\$1.80 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	All	North Coast Railroad: Sub-paragraph (g) local match fund <i>North Coast Railroad Authority</i> <i>Same</i>
32.8	\$5.50 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	All	North Coast Railroad: Sub-paragraph (h) fund repayment of federal loan obligations <i>North Coast Railroad Authority</i> <i>Same</i>

2.1c. Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals – **CONTINUED**  
Resolution TA-00-01

A C/D

<b><u>Project Number</u></b>	<b><u>(\$ X 1,000,000) Amount</u></b>	<b><u>Phase(s)</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
34	\$40.00 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	All	Los Angeles To Pasadena Blue Line <i>Los Angeles to Pasadena Blue Line Construction Authority Same</i>
39	\$15.00 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	1	Route 405; add northbound HOV lane over Sepulveda Pass <i>Caltrans Same</i>
43	\$71.00 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	All	Route 5; improve Carmenita Road Interchange in Norwalk <i>Caltrans Same</i>
45	\$2.00 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	Study	Route 710; complete Gateway Corridor Study <i>Caltrans Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA)</i>
48	\$3.00 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	Study	Route 101; corridor analysis and PSR <i>Caltrans Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA)</i>
50	\$11.80 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	1,2	Route 71; complete three miles of six-lane freeway through Pomona <i>Caltrans Same</i>
51	\$21.00 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	All	Route 101/405; add auxiliary lane and widen ramp through freeway interchange <i>Caltrans Same</i>
53	\$16.00 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	All	Automated Signal Corridors (ATSAC) <i>City of Los Angeles, Department of Transportation Same</i>
56	\$15.00 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	All	Metrolink; track and signal improvements on Metrolink San Bernardino Line <i>Southern California Regional Railroad Authority Same</i>
61	\$3.90 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	1,2	Route 10; reconstruct Apache Trail Interchange <i>Caltrans Same</i>

2.1c. Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals – **CONTINUED**  
Resolution TA-00-01

A C/D

<b><u>Project Number</u></b>	<b><u>(\$ X 1,000,000) Amount</u></b>	<b><u>Phase(s)</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
76	\$14.00	All	Coaster Commuter Rail; acquire one new train set <i>Lead Agency: North County Transit District</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>
87	\$1.70	1	Routes 94/125; build two new freeway connector ramps <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>
97	\$2.00	1,2	Plans/working drawings, and related program management costs for the Fresno Events Center. <i>Lead Agency: California State University at Fresno</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>
115	\$4.00	1	South Line Light Rail <i>Lead Agency: Sacramento Regional Transit District</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>
117	\$20.00	All	Folsom Light Rail <i>Lead Agency: Sacramento Regional Transit District</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>
118	\$50.00	na	Sacramento Emergency Clean Air/Transportation Plan (SECAT) <i>Lead Agency: Sacramento Area Council of Governments</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>
119	\$19.00	All	Convert Sacramento Regional Transit bus fleet to low emission and provide Yolo bus service <i>Lead Agency: Sacramento Area Council of Governments/Yolo County</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Sacramento Regional Transit District/Yolo County Transportation District</i>
121	\$0.35	Study	Metropolitan Bakersfield System Study <i>Lead Agency: Kern Council of Governments</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>
134	\$3.00	All	Route 50/Sunrise Boulevard; interchange modifications. <i>Lead Agency: The County of Sacramento, Department of Transportation</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i>
139	\$6.00	All	Balboa Park BART Station; phase I expansion. <i>Lead Agency: San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>

**2.1c. Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals – CONTINUED** **A C/D**  
Resolution TA-00-01

<b><u>Project Number</u></b>	<b><u>(\$ X 1,000,000) Amount</u></b>	<b><u>Phase(s)</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
142	\$2.00 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	All	West Hollywood; Santa Monica Boulevard <i>City of West Hollywood</i> <i>Same</i>
145	\$6.50 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	All	Construction of a new siding in Sun Valley <i>Southern California Regional Railroad Authority</i> <i>Same</i>
158	\$2.00 <i>Lead Agency:</i> <i>Implementing Agency:</i>	All	Remodel the intersection of Olympic Boulevard/Mateo St./Porter St. <i>City of Los Angeles, Department of</i> <i>Transportation</i> <i>Same</i>

**2.1d. Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/Amendments**

- 2.1d.(1)** County of Del Norte – Project Approval Amendment to reprogram \$30,249 in cost savings from two completed pedestrian improvement projects and one completed Class II bikeway project, to a new pedestrian project to be located on Inyo Street between Murphy Street and Cooper Avenue. (Concurrent Allocation Amendment under 2.6e.(1) and Allocation under 2.6b.(3).) Resolution PA-00-\_\_\_\_, Amending Resolutions PA-99-08, PA-99-24, PA-99-22 **A D**
- 2.1d.(2)** Redding Area Bus Authority (RABA) – Project Approval Amendment to reprogram \$148,743 in cost savings from a completed vehicle acquisition and bus pullout project, to a new Vehicle Wash and Fare Retrieval Facility project. (Concurrent Allocation Amendment under 2.6e.(2) and Allocation under 2.6b.(3).) Resolution PA-00-\_\_\_\_, Amending Resolution PA-96-36 **A D**
- 2.1d.(3)** City of Chico – Project Approval Amendment to reprogram \$34,469 in cost savings from the completed Durham-Dayton Highway Bike Lane project and \$72,000 in unobligated funds from Butte County's Proposition 116 Non-Urban County apportionment to the City of Chico's El Monte Avenue Bike Lanes project. Resolution PA-00-\_\_\_\_, Amending Resolution PA-98-04 **A D**

**2.1e. Proposition 116 – Competitive Bicycle Project Approvals/Amendments** **A C**

- Project Approval for \$204,657 to City of Folsom for Parkway Regional Rail Trail and Bridge Project.  
Resolution PA-00-\_\_\_\_

**2.2 Environmental Matters**

**2.2a. Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) – No Items This Month**

**2.2b.** Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIR) – **No Items This Month****2.2c.** Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIRs) **A D**

- Route 405 in Los Angeles County - Construct HOV lanes between Routes 90 and 10 in the Cities of Culver City and Los Angeles (ND) Resolution E-01-7

**2.2d.** Approval of TCR Projects for Future Consideration of Funding – **No Items This Month****2.3** Highway Route Matters**2.3a.** Route Adoptions – **No Items This Month****2.3b.** New Public Road Connection

- 2.3b.(1)** Approval of Temporary New Public Road Connection to Route 41 at Idaho Avenue in the County of Kings at Kilometer Post 62.90 (PM 39.09). Resolution S-712 **A D**

- 2.3b.(2)** Approval of New Public Road Connections to Route 12 at Star Street and at Flag City Boulevard, in the County of San Joaquin, at Kilometer Post 16.8 (PM 10.4) and Kilometer Post 17.4 (PM 10.8). Resolutions S-713 **A D**

**2.3c.** Four Relinquishment Resolutions **A D**

- 04-Sol-780-PM 7.4 Route 780 in the City of Vallejo. Resolution R-3462
- 07-LA-1-PM 35.4 Route 1 in the City of Santa Monica. Resolution R-3463
- 07-LA-1-PM 36.2 Route 1 in the City of Santa Monica. Resolution R-3464
- 07-LA-72-PM 8.5/10.5 Route 72 in the City of Montebello. Resolution R-3465

**2.3d.** Vacation Resolutions – **No Items This Month****2.4** Highway Right of Way Matters**2.4a.** Resolutions of Necessity – Appearances – **No Items This Month**



- 2.4b.** 13 Resolutions of Necessity  
C-18131 through C-18143 A D
- 2.4c.** Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations – **No Items This Month**
- 2.4d.** Director's Deeds
- Items #1 through 9 A D  
Excess Lands – Return to State: \$2,734,085  
Return to Others: \$0
- 2.5** Highway Financial Matters
- |                  |   |  |
|------------------|---|--|
| \$45,206,725     | Total State Funds for New Projects                    |  |
| <u>9,929,171</u> | Contributions from Other Sources for New Projects     |  |
| \$55,135,896     | Grand Total for New Projects with State Participation |  |
- 2.5a.** Financial Allocation for 15 Minor Projects totaling \$6,273,000.  
Resolution FP-00-26 A D
- 2.5b.** Financial Allocation for STIP/SHOPP/TEA Projects on the State Highway System
- 2.5b.(1)** Two STIP Projects for \$17,454,000.  
Resolution FP-00-27 A D
- 2.5b.(2)** Four SHOPP Projects totaling \$5,258,000.  
Resolution FP-00-28 A D
- 2.5b.(3)** Three SHOPP Projects Amended into the SHOPP  
by Department Action for \$6,149,000.  
Resolution FP-00-29 A D
- 2.5b.(4)** One SHOPP Administered TEA Project for \$910,000.  
Resolution FP-00-30 A D
- 2.5b.(5)** One SHOPP Administered TEA Project for \$975,000  
plus \$125,000 from other sources.  
Resolution FP-00-34 A D
- 2.5c.** Financial Allocation for STIP/CL/EEM Projects Off the State Highway System
- 2.5c.(1)** Five Local Assistance STIP Projects Off the State Highway System  
totaling \$5,443,000 plus \$7,559,000 from other sources.  
Resolution FP-00-31 A D
- 2.5c.(2)** One Local Assistance Conservation Land (CL) Program Project  
for \$1,500,000 plus \$1,000,000 from other sources.  
Resolution FP-00-32 A D
- 2.5c.(3)** Four Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation  
(EEM) Projects totaling \$1,192,725 plus \$1,245,171 from other sources.  
Resolution FP-00-33 A D
- 2.5d.** Financial Allocation for Projects with Costs Greater than 120 Percent of  
Program Amount – **No Items This Month**

**2.5e.** Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects **A D**  
 Five Projects for \$8,092,000.  
 Resolution FA-00-03

- 02-Sis-5 – SHOPP Project near Dunsmuir and Mount Shasta from the Sacramento River Bridge to north of Lassen Lane. Original vote \$17,743,000. Current allocation \$17,743,000. This request of \$4,142,000 to award project, for a grand total of \$21,885,000, a 23 percent increase over vote.
- 03-But-32 – SHOPP Project in Chico at 9<sup>th</sup> Street. Original vote \$192,000. Awarded for \$192,000. Current allocation \$329,000. This request of \$50,000 for an on-going project, for a grand total of \$379,600, a 98 percent increase over award.
- 05-SB-246 – SHOPP Project in Buellton from 0.3 kilometer west to 0.4 kilometer east of Santa Rosa Creek Bridge. Original vote \$3,600,000. Awarded for \$2,647,000. Current allocation \$3,411,000. This request of \$775,000 for an on-going project, for a grand total of \$4,186,000, a 58 percent increase over award.
- 07-LA-1 – SHOPP Project in Manhattan Beach and El Segundo. Original vote \$4,400,000. Awarded for \$4,763,000. Current allocation \$5,040,000. This request of \$1,900,000 for an on-going project, for a grand total of \$6,940,000, a 58 percent increase over vote.
- 10-SJ-5 – SHOPP Project near Stockton and Lathrop from south of Deuel Overhead to north of French Camp Turnpike Undercrossing. Original vote \$11,900,000. Awarded for \$14,415,000. Current allocation \$14,415,000. This request of \$1,225,000 for an on-going project, for a grand total of \$15,640,000, a 31 percent increase over vote.

**2.5f.** Informational Reports on Allocations Under Delegated Authority

- 2.5f.(1)** Emergency: G-11 Allocations **I D**  
 Six Projects totaling \$2,233,000
- 2.5f.(2)** SHOPP Rehabilitation: G-00-10 Allocations **I D**  
 Three Projects totaling \$8,686,000
- 2.5f.(3)** SHOPP Safety: G-00-10 Allocations **I D**  
 Two Projects totaling \$2,817,000
- 2.5f.(4)** Local Assistance Allocations for Local Storm Damage and **I D**  
 Local Street and Road Pavement Rehabilitation: G-99-09 Allocations  
 16 Projects totaling \$3,204,000

**2.6** Mass Transportation Financial Matters

\$189,350,000	Total Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP)
7,407,000	Total State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)
274,379	Total Proposition 116 Program Funds
<u>(254,379)</u>	Total Allocation Amendments
\$196,777,000	Grand Total State Allocations

- 2.6a.** Financial Allocation for STIP Rail/Transit Projects
- 2.6a.(1)** One Local STIP Rail/Transit Project for \$337,000. A D  
Resolution MFP-00-07
- 2.6a.(2)** One State STIP Intercity Rail Project for \$7,080,000. A D  
Resolution MFP-00-08
- 2.6b.** Financial Allocation for Proposition 116 Program Projects
- 2.6b.(1)** Local Proposition 116 Rail Program Projects – **No Items This Month**
- 2.6b.(2)** State Proposition 116 Rail Program Projects – **No Items This Month**
- 2.6b.(3)** Three Local Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Projects A D  
for \$274,379.  
Resolution BFP-00-04
- 2.6c.** Financial Allocation for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Projects A C/D  
32 TCR Projects totaling \$189,350,000.  
Resolution TFP-00-02
- 2.6d.** Financial Allocation for Multi-Program Funded Projects – **No Items This Month**
- 2.6e.** Proposition 116 Allocation Amendments
- 2.6e.(1)** County of Del Norte – Deallocate a total of \$30,249 in cost savings A D  
from three completed Proposition 116 projects: (1) \$12,175 from a  
completed bikeway project originally approved for \$73,000, for a revised  
total of \$60,825; (2) \$4,540 from a completed pedestrian project  
originally approved for \$82,554, for a revised total of \$78,014; and  
(3) \$13,534 from a completed pedestrian improvement project originally  
approved for \$15,081, for a revised total of \$1,547. (Concurrent PA  
Amendment under 2.1d.(1).)  
Resolution BFA-00-02, Amending Resolutions BFP-98-24, BFP-98-27  
and BFP-99-08.
- 2.6e.(2)** County of Shasta - Deallocate a total of \$148,743 in cost savings A D  
from two completed Proposition 116 projects: (1) \$117,979 from a  
completed vehicle acquisition project originally approved for  
\$904,800, for a revised total of \$786,821; and (2) \$30,764 from a  
completed bus pullout project originally approved for \$109,200, for a  
revised total of \$78,436. (Concurrent PA Amendment under 2.1d.(2).)  
Resolution BFA-00-03, Amending Resolutions BFP-97-17  
and BFP-98-17.
- 2.6e.(3)** County of San Luis Obispo - Deallocate \$75,387 in cost savings from A D  
a completed Pacific Coast Bike Route project originally approved for  
\$100,000, for a revised total of \$24,613.  
Resolution BFA-00-04, Amending Resolutions BFP-96-23.

- |          |   |        |
|----------|---|--------|
| 2.6f.    | Allocation of \$45,490,000 in Public Transportation Account (PTA) funds to the Department of Transportation for intercity rail and feeder bus services on the San Joaquin and Pacific Surfliner (formerly San Diegan) Routes.<br>Resolution MFP-00-06 | A    D |
|          |   |        |
| 2.7      | Aeronautics Financial Matters   |        |
|          |   |        |
| •        | Allocation of \$1,130,000 for FY 2000-01 California Aid to Airports Program (CAAP) funds for four projects from the 2000 Aeronautics Program.<br>Resolution FDOA-2000-7   | A    D |
| •        | City of Firebaugh – Firebaugh Airport in Fresno County<br>\$41,000 – Repair runway edge.  |        |
| •        | City of Salinas – Salinas Municipal Airport in Monterey County<br>\$278,000 – Repair joints on apron & taxiways “C”, “E”, & “F”.  |        |
| •        | County of Los Angeles – El Monte Airport in Los Angeles County<br>\$500,000 – Slurry seal runway, taxiway, and apron.   |        |
| •        | County of San Bernardino – Barstow-Daggett Airport in San Bernardino County<br>\$311,000 – Reconstruct both ends of taxiway parallel to runway 8/26.  |        |
|          |   |        |
| 2.8      | Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-00-20, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests  |        |
|          |   |        |
| 2.8a.    | Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b>  |        |
|          |   |        |
| 2.8b.    | Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award  |        |
|          |   |        |
| 2.8b.(1) | Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for one Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) Program project in the City of Mountain View for \$950,000.<br>Waiver-00-52  | A    D |
|          |   |        |
| 2.8b.(2) | Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for three Local Assistance STIP projects in the City of Red Bluff totaling \$566,000.<br>Waiver-00-53  | A    D |
|          |   |        |
| 2.8b.(3) | Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for one Transit Capital Improvement (TCI) Program project for the City of Modesto for \$60,000.<br>Waiver-00-55  | A    D |
|          |   |        |
| 2.8b.(4) | Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) Program projects.<br>Waiver-00-54  | A    D |
|          |   |        |
| 2.8c.    | Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion  |        |
|          |   |        |
| •        | Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for one STIP project for Sacramento Regional Transit District (SacRT) for \$6,139,000. To date, \$1,127,613 has been reimbursed by the State to the local agency.<br>Waiver-00-56                  | A    D |

**2.8d. Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement – No Items This Month****2.8e. Request for Waiver to Resolution G-99-25, Commission's Guidelines for Allocating, Monitoring, and Auditing of Funds of Grant Projects**

- Request for Waiver to Extend the Period of Project Completion under Resolution G-99-25, for one Sacramento Regional Transit District (SacRT) project for \$43,588,000. To date, \$712,960 has been reimbursed by the State to the local agency.  
Waiver-00-57 **A D**

**2.9 Technical Changes to Previously Approved Resolutions/Actions**

- 2.9a.** Resolution MFP-98-34. San Francisco Public Transportation Commission - Originally approved on June 8, 1999 in the amount of \$500,000 for the San Francisco MUNI Subway Station Improvements project. Change funding on the Local State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) funds from State funds (101-0042) to State-Administered federal funds (101-0890). No change in the total amount allocated under this resolution. **A D**

- 2.9b.** Resolution FP-99-84. Originally approved June 15, 2000, for \$326,245,000 in State and Federal funds for 28 STIP Projects. For Project #17, change programmed amount for Grandfathered Regional Improvement Program (GF-RIP) funds for CON from \$76,854,000 to \$75,396,000, and change programmed amount for Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds from \$0 to \$1,461,000. No change in the total amount allocated under this resolution. **A D**

**2.10 Contract Approval Proposition 116 Bond Program**

- Contract approval of \$404,395 funded by Proposition 116 Bond Program funds for the Department of Transportation's Fiscal Year 2000-01 Administration costs. **A D**  
(See Also Public Transit Committee Agenda Item 1.)

**3. PROGRAM STATUS**

- 3.1** Caltrans Monthly FY 2000-2001 Finance Report **I D**
- 3.2** Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded **I D**
- 3.3** Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action **I D**
- 3.4** Local Program Delivery
- 3.4a.** Monthly Status Report **I R**
- 3.4b.** Account of Unobligated CMAQ and RSTP Funds per AB 1012's "Use It or Lose It" Provisions **I D**

3.5	Caltrans' Year End Delivery Report: FY 1999-2000	I	D
-----	--	---	---

#### **4. POLICY MATTERS**

4.1	State Legislative Matters*		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Status of Bills Enrolled to the Governor</li> </ul>	A	C
4.2	Hearing and Adoption of FFY 2000-2001 Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5310 Elderly and Disabled Transit Program Resolution G-00-____ <b><u>TIMED ITEM: Friday, September 29, 2000 at 9:00 a.m.</u></b>	A	C
4.3	Adoption of Guidelines for Traffic Congestion Relief Program Resolution G-00-____	A	D
4.4	NOTICE: Draft Guidelines for the SB 928 Garvee Bond Program	I	D
4.5	Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) Program		
	4.5a. Closeout of ISTEA-era TEA Program	I	C/D
	4.5b. Final Adoption of Third Round of Conservation Lands (CL) Program Resolution G-00-____, Replacing Resolution G-00-07	A	C/D
4.6	Advice and Consent on Caltrans' Ten-Year State Rail Plan (See Also Public Transit Committee Agenda Item 5.)	A	D
4.7	Proposed Annual \$4,500,000 Allocation Set-Aside for the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) Railroad Grade Crossing Protection Maintenance Program for FY 2001-2002 Resolution G-00-____	A	C
4.8	Outline for Commission's 2000 Annual Report to Legislature	A	C
4.9	2000 Airspace and Excess Land Business Plan	I	D
4.10	2000 Asset Management Business Plan	I	D
4.11	Annual Report on State Highway Projects Funded 100% by Others	I	D

**5. MODAL ISSUES**

- 5.1 Rail – **No Items This Month**
- 5.2 Highways – **No Items This Month**
- 5.3 Transit – **No Items This Month**
- 5.4 Aviation – **No Items This Month**

**6. OTHER MATTERS**